

S/L 2
16.08.2022
Court. No. 41
suwayan

CPAN 127 of 2021
In
WPA 23985 of 2019
In
IA No. CAN 1 of 2020 (Old CAN No. 1680 of 2020)

Tanuka Mazumder
Vs.
Dilip Yadav

Mr. Supratim Dhar
Mr. Kunal Ganguly

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Dipak Kumar Mukherjee
Mr. Rajib Mukherjee
Ms. Shreyasi Bhaduri

...for the alleged contemnor.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee

...for the State.

The matter is listed as “To Be Mentioned” on the prayer of the petitioner.

It reveals from record that on August 4, 2022 the alleged contemnor has filed his compliance report and accordingly this Court has disposed of the contempt application.

The petitioner alleged that the contempt application was listed in the list at Serial No. 68 and out of turn the Counsel for respondent mentioned the matter without notice of the petitioner and had obtained an order.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for hearing of the matter afresh.

As this court has already disposed of the contempt application vide order dated August 4, 2022 and this Court cannot pass any further order on the verbal submission of

the learned Counsel for the petitioner. The petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate steps if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated August 4, 2022.

(Krishna Rao, J.)