

16
09.03.2022
Court No. 23
d.g.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA 2951 of 2022

Sharmi Chakraborty & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Raghunath Chakraborty,
Mr. Mahaboob Ahmed.
... for the petitioners

Mr. Tapan Kumar Mukherjee,
Ms. Debdooti Dutta.

... for the State

The petitioners intend to file a supplementary affidavit. It is submitted by the petitioners that certain documents which were not available with the petitioner at the time of filing of the writ petition should be brought on record by way of a supplementary affidavit. I do not find any supporting pleading in the writ petition to elucidate which the petitioners want to file a supplementary affidavit. The scope of the writ petition cannot be allowed to be extended by filing of a supplementary affidavit immediately after filing of the writ petition unless there are some averment to that effect in the writ petition.

The petitioners faced with such situation intend to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a fresh comprehensive writ petition on the self-same cause.

Considering such prayer, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh

writ petition on the self-same cause, if so advised, taking all the points available to the petitioners and pleaded in the instant writ petition.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)