

04.05.2022
Serial no.73 & 72
Ct. No. 29
Aloke

CRM (DB) 453 of 2022
with
CRM (DB) 451 of 2022

In re : An Application under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

-And-

In the matter of : Md. Asraful Hoque
... ...Petitioner

Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay, Advocate
Mr. Soumik Dey, Advocate
Ms. Lipika Chatterjee, Advocate
... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Manas Kr. Das, Advocate
Ms. Shabana Hasin, Advocate
... ...For the opposite party nos. 2 and 3

Mr. Tapadip Ghosh, Advocates
... ...For the opposite party no. 4

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, advocate
... ...For the State

Two applications for cancellation of bail are taken up for consideration analogously as they emanate out of the same police case.

In a police complaint relating to the violation of the provisions of the Trade Mark Act, 1999 the learned Court granted bail upon finding that the articles were seized.

The impugned order is informed with reasons for grant of bail.

We do not find any ground to be made out for the purpose of cancelling the bail so granted.

Consequently, we find no merit in the two applications to cancel the bail so granted.

Consequently, CRM (DB) 453 of 2022 and CRM (DB) 451 of 2022 are rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)