

**30.03.2022**  
**Serial no. 109**  
[Dd]  
(Anticipatory bail  
**Rejected**)

**CRM(A) 678 of 2022**

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with G.R. Case No. **808 of 2021** arising out of **Pandabeswar** Police Station Case No. **71 of 2021** dated **09.05.2021** under Sections **307/427** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections **25/27** of the Arms Act.

**-And-**

In the matter of : **Jitendra Shaw @ Jitendra Kumar Shaw**  
... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Shamik Chatterjee,  
Mr. Aditya Bikram Mahata, Advocates  
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Nuguive Ahmed, Id. APP  
Ms. Trina Mitra, Advocates  
... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor draws that attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that not only the eyewitnesses name the petitioner as the person involved in the incident, but also the video footage implicates the petitioner.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials available in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM(A) 678 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)**