

11.02.2022

Sl. 04
Court No.29
suvayan
(rejected)

CRM (A) 653 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Hanskhali** P.S. Case No. **712/2021** dated **09/10/2021** under Sections **306/120B** of the Indian Penal Code read with Section **12** of POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of: Dilip Mondal & Ors.

....petitioners.

Mr. Sayen De
Mr. Sayan Kanjilal

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee
Ms. Purnima Ghosh

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated. The principal accused was residing at Kolkata far away from the place of the incident.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the fact that the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary at this stage, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 653 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)