

SAT 20 of 2019

Sushil Chowdhury
vs.
Sumita Banerjee @ Sushmita Banerjee

Ms. Sreetama Neogi

...for the appellant

Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mitra
Mr. Debangshu Dinda

.....for the respondent

Party/Parties is/are represented in the order of
their name/names as printed above in the cause-title.

The matter is listed today as 'To Be mentioned'
on the prayer of the learned counsel for the
respondent. Learned Counsel for the respondent
submits that in the judgment dated 17th March, 2022
at page 2 the Appeal number is wrongly mentioned as
5 of 2019 instead of Appeal No. 5 of 2015 and at page
No. 12 in paragraph 5 line no. 2 the Appeal number is
wrongly mentioned as 21 of 2015 instead of Appeal
No. 5 of 2015.

In view of the above, in the judgment dated 17th
March, 2022 at page no.2 at paragraph 1, the Appeal
number shall be read as 5 of 2015 instead of Appeal

No. 5 of 2019 and at page No. 12 in paragraph 5, line No. 2, the Appeal number shall be read as 5 of 2015 instead of Appeal No. 21 of 2015.

This order be treated as part of the order dated 17th March, 2022.

Registry is directed to take appropriate steps.

All parties to act on a server copy of this order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Krishna Rao, J.)

(Subrata Talukdar, J)