

08.02.2022

Serial no. 45

Dd

CRM (DB) 356 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Habra** Police Station Case No. **113** of **2020** dated **March 7, 2020** under **Sections 342/376/506/379** of the Indian Penal Code, Section **6** of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 & Sections **3/4** of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

-And-

In the matter of : Sri Narayan Paul

... ..Petitioner

Ms. Sananda Bhattacharyya, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Advocate

... ...For the State

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in excess of 704 days. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the statements of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code implicating the petitioner and considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner and considering the fact that there is hardly any change in circumstances subsequent to the earlier order of rejection, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for bail is **rejected**.

This application for bail is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)