

14.02.2022

Serial no. 08

Dd

CRM (A) 587 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Jhargram** Police Station Case No. **215** of **2021** dated **20/09/2021** under Sections **341 /324 /325 /326 /307 / 506/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Pradip Dihidar**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Brajesh Jha,
Mr. Ravi Ranjan Kumar
Mr. Saket Sharma, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta, Ld. APP
Mr. Subrato Roy, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner seeks parity with the other co-accused who were granted anticipatory in CRM(A) 401 of 2022 dated January 31, 2022.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the injury report and the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are not in a position to return a finding that the petitioner stands on the same footing as that of the other co-accused who were granted anticipatory bail in CRM(A) 401 of 2022 dated January 31, 2022.

Consequently, considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 587 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)