

38
ab
Ct. 40

20.07.2022.

C.P.A.N. 11 of 1999
Urmila Halder & Ors. Vs. Dilip Chowdhury
In
WPA 942 of 1995
Urmila Halder
Vs.
The State

No one appears on behalf of the petitioners on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)