

06.04.2022
Sl. 55
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 498 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kalighat** P.S. Case No. **142 of 2021** dated **15/11/2021** under Sections **498A/406/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1908 read with Sections **3/4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

And

In the matter of: Satyadev Shukla & Ors.

....petitioners.

Mr. Bibek Jyoti Basu
Mr. Sandeep Prasad Shaw
Ms. Priyanka Gupta
Ms. Ilika Patra

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Ld. PP
Ms. Sayanti Santra

...for the State.

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee
Mr. Apalok Basu
Mr. Dipak Dey

...for the de facto complainant.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

State and the de facto complainant are represented.

Learned Public Prosecutor draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that the entirety of 'Streedhan' articles could not be seized. Moreover, the police complaint was lodged within seven months of marriage.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein and considering the fact that the complaint was lodged within seven months of marriage, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 498 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

