

04.03.2022

Serial no. 04

Dd

CRM (A) 474 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Belda** Police Station Case No. **167** of **2021** dated **29.05.2021** under Sections **417/376** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Shibsankar Pramanik**

... ..Petitioner

Mr. Rejaul Alam, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee,

Mr. Gautam Banerjee, Advocates

... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Yesterday, when the matter was taken up, an advocate representing the de facto complainant was present in Court along with the de facto complainant. Today, such advocate is not appearing.

State is represented. Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the police tried to apprehend the petitioner and conducted raids. Warrant of arrest was also issued.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 474 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)