

18.01.2022.
Ct.No.29.
AS.
5.

C.R.R. 165 of 2011

In the matter of : Arindam Chowdhury.
... Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. A.P.P.,
Mr. Manoranjan Mahata.
...for the State.

Learned Advocate on behalf of the State appears.

Proper authority is requested to regularize the appointment of Mr. Madhusudan Sur and Mr. Manoranjan Mahata, learned Advocates.

It is submitted that the matter is pending since 2011. None is appearing on behalf of the petitioner to move this revisional application.

After careful perusal of the record, I find that this revisional application is directed against the order dated 23.11.2010 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Court at Siliguri in connection with M. R. Case No.41 of 2010 under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure thereby directing the petitioner to pay Rs.50,000/- per month to the opposite party No.2 as interim maintenance during the pendency of the case.

On careful perusal of the order impugned, I find that prima facie it was found by the learned Magistrate that the petitioner had monthly income of Rs.3,50,000/- per month. Considering the quantum of monthly income as well as the liability of the petitioner, learned Court passed an interim order directing the petitioner/opposite party to pay interim maintenance of

Rs.50,000/- per month during the pendency of the case under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

On careful perusal of the order impugned, I do not find any illegality or irregularity or perversity in the order itself. Thus, the order impugned stands affirmed.

The revisional application stands disposed of accordingly.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Court, Siliguri.

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)