

07.02.2022

63 to 65

Ct. No. 29

KAUSHIK

C.R.M. 745 of 2021
With
C.R.M. 4275 of 2018
CRAN 2 of 2020, CRAN 3 of 2021
With
C.R.M. 4276 of 2018
CRAN 1 of 2019 (Old. No. CRAN 4894 of 2019)

In Re:- An application for cancellation of bail under
Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

And

In Re : Nibedita Natta (nee: Paul)

..... petitioner

Mr. Kushal Pal

....for the petitioner
in CRM 745 of 2021

Mr. Kushal Pal

....for the Opposite Party No. 2
in CRM 4275 of 2018 &
CRM 4276 of 2018

Mr. Minoti Gomes

Mr. Abhijit Sarkar

Mr. Raja Biswas

....for the opposite party no. 2
in CRM 745 of 2021

Mr. Madhusudan Sur

Mr. Manoranjan Mahata

....for the State

In Re. C.R.M. 745 of 2021

This is an application for cancellation of bail.

The ground for cancellation is that the private opposite
party is not complying with the order dated January 17, 2020
where the private opposite party was required to pay a sum of
Rs.12,000/- per month.

It is admitted at the bar that the private opposite party is upto date so far as compliance with the order dated January 17, 2022 is concerned, as on date.

State and the private opposite party are represented.

Since, there is no default on payment in terms of the order dated January 17, 2020, as on date, we are not minded to allow the prayer for cancellation of bail. It is, however, clarified that in the event of future default, the petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate steps with regard thereto.

In Re: CRM 4275 of 2018 and CRM 4276 of 2018

In two disposed of applications for cancellation of bail being CRM 4275 of 2018 and CRM 4276 of 2018, the private opposite party applied for relaxation of the condition of bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the private opposite party submits that, she is not pressing the application for relaxation of condition of bail.

In such circumstances, all applications made in CRM 4275 of 2018 and CRM 4276 of 2018 are disposed of as 'not pressed'.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

