

95 01.02.2022
PJ
SM Court No.29

(Rejected)

C.R.M.(DB) 251 of 2022
(Via Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 27/01/2022 in connection with **Taldangra** P.S. Case No.**42 of 2021** dated **24/05/2021** under Sections **498A/304B** and **34** of the Indian Penal Code.
And

In the matter of: Baren Dhibar & Anr.

....petitioners.

Mr. Samiran Mandal,
Mr. Abhinaba Dan,
Mr. Nithish Samanta,

...for the petitioners.

Mr. S. G. Mukherjee, Ld. P.P,
Mr. Aniket Mitra,

... for the State.

Petitioners seek bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody for 252 days. The police filed charge-sheet and therefore further detention of the petitioners is not required. He submits that the incident happened six years after marriage. The father-in-law was granted bail by the jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the Post-mortem Report and the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, as prima facie appearing from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M.(DB) 251 of 2022 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

