

08.02.2022  
Serial no.6  
Aloke  
Ct. No. 29

**CRM (A) 415 of 2022**

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 25.01.2022 in connection with Khanakul P.S. Case No. 179 of 2021 dated 04.05.2021 under Sections 147/148/448/302/354(B)/427/379/506 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substance Act.

**-And-**

**In the matter of : Soumen Santra & Ors.**

**... ..Petitioners**

Mr. Niladri Sekhar Ghosh, Advocate

Ms. Sompurna Chatterjee, Advocate

... For the Petitioners

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Id. APP

Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya, Advocate

... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated. He refers to the first information report. He submits that the incident arose out of a political rivalry. He submits that the police filed charge-sheet and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that all the petitioners are named in the charge-sheet. The petitioners were absconding. He submits that there are statements recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure implicating the petitioners.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners as appearing from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

CRM (A) 415 of 2022 is rejected.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)**