

56
ab
Ct. 40

29.08.2022.

C.P.A.N. 18 of 2000
Smt. Puspa Roy Vs. Mongalmoy Ganguly
in
WPA 234 of 1999
Puspa Roy Vs. The State.

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)