

15.09.2022
Court No.12
S/L. No. 43
Suvayan/
Sourav

FA 319 of 1986

**Raimata Rice & Flour Rice & Ors.
Vs.
Food Corporation of India**

Mr. Prabir Kumar Chaudhury

...for the respondent.

None had appeared for the parties when the matter was listed on earlier occasion, nor any accommodation was sought for.

Today also none appears for the appellants, nor any accommodation is sought for, though the matter has been listed under the heading **“For Dismissal”** giving sufficient notice and warning to learned Counsel for the appellants.

Learned Counsel for the respondent is represented.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Interim application, if any, stands disposed of.

Chitta Ranjan Dash, J.)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)