

21.02.2022
Sl. 4
Court No.29
sourav
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 264 of 2022
(Via Video Conference)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on **17.01.2022** in connection with **Rampurhat** P. S. Case No. **609** of **2021** dated **23.12.2021** under Sections **135(1)(a)/135(1)(c)/133(1)(i)/138(1)(d)** of the Electricity Act, 2003.

And

In the matter of: **Gourav Bagaria**

....petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Banerjee
Ms. Seyuti Chakrabarti

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Narayan Prasad Agarwala
Mr. Pratick Bose

...for the State.

Mr. Aniket Mitra

...for WBSEDCL.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that he is without any instruction with regard to the payment made by his client in terms of the earlier order.

Learned advocate appearing for the distribution company submits that no payment in terms of the earlier order was made by the petitioner.

State is represented.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the conduct of the petitioner subsequent to the order and considering the involvement of the petitioner in the offence, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 264 of 2022 is, thus, dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

