

02.02.2022
Serial no. 04
Dd

CRM (A) 223 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Talpatighat Coastal** Police Station Case No. **101** of **2021** dated **15.12.2021** under Sections **498A/302/34** of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 Dowry Prohibition (corresponding to G.R. Case No. **2502 of 2021**)

-And-

In the matter of : **Sri Priya Ranjan Majhi & Anr.**
... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Binoy Kumar Das, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Imran Ali,
Ms. Sujata Das, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are senior citizens and are falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the postmortem report and to the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the parents of the deceased and the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the neighbours.

Considering the materials in the case diary, the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 223 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)