

25.01.2022

Serial no. 88

Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM (A) 206 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Barikul** Police Station Case No. **30** dated **09.11.2021** under Sections **498A/323/406/316** of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections **3/4** of Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Jayanta Sahu**

... ..Petitioner

Mr. Amajit De, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Prasun Kr. Dutta,

Mr. Pratick Bose, Advocates

... ...For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the de facto complainant.

Considering the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the de facto complainant, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 206 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)