

25.01.2022
Serial no. 80
Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM (A) 183 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kaliachak** Police Station Case No. **1400** of **2021** dated **15.12.2021** under Sections **302/120B/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Halima Bibi & Ors.** **Petitioners**

Mr. Amitava Karmakar,
Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Zareen N. Khan,
Md. Kutubuddin, Advocates
... ..For the State

Mr. Dhananjay Banerjee, advocate
...For the intervenor

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are no way involved in the incident. He submits that there was a property dispute between the private parties pending for a considerable period of time.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code. He submits that the petitioners are involved in the incident.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpires from the case diary,

we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 183 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)