

25.01.2022
Serial no.43

Aloke
Ct. No. 29

(Through Video Conference)

CRM (A) 100 of 2022

In re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 05.01.2022 in connection with Patrasayer Police Station Case No. 126 of 2020 dated 01.12.2020 under Sections 379/411/413/414/420 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 21 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957.

-And-

In the matter of : **Anil Kumar Singh**

... ...Petitioner

Mr. Soumik Ganguli, Advocate

... ... For the Petitioners

Mr. Sudip Ghosh, Advocate

Mr. Apurba Kr. Datta, Advocate

... ... For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

The petitioner is a resident of Dhanbad. He draws the attention of the Court to the quantum of the sand involved.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the vehicle was seized by the police from where the police came into possession of a document which is forged. The petitioner is involved in such forgery. Such matter requires investigation.

In view of the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

CRM (A) 100 of 2022 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)