

25.01.2022

Serial no. 21

Dd

(Through Video Conference)

CRM (A) 34 of 2022

In re : An Application for **anticipatory bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Ranitala** Police Station Case No. **245** of **2021** dated **27.06.2021** under Sections **498A/302/34** of the Indian Penal Code and subsequently Chargesheeted under Sections **498A / 304B /306 /34** of the Indian Penal Code (G.R. Case no. **1919 of 2021**)

-And-

In the matter of : **Tagora Bibi @ Tgara Bibi & Anr.**
... ..**Petitioners**

Md. Golam Nure Imrohi, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Arijit Ganguly,
Mr. Sanjib Kumar Dan, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. The husband is in custody. Since the police filed charge sheet, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is no longer required.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents in the case diary particularly to the statements recorded.

Considering the gravity of the offences and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 34 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)