

08.04.2022
65
Ct. No. 29
KAUSHIK
DISMISSED

C.R.M. (DB) 26 of 2022

In Re:- An application for cancellation of bail under
Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

And

In Re : Ankita Drolia

..... petitioner

Mr. Sarthak Mondal

....for the petitioner

Mr. Jogendra Pandey

Mr. Ranjit Singh

Mr. Debnath Ganguly

Mr. Supriyo Dutta

Mr. Pinak Mitra

....for the Opposite Party No. 2

Mr. Binoy Panda

Mr. Subham Bhakat

....for the State

Petitioner seeks cancellation of the bail granted by the
Sessions Judge on December 22, 2021 in Criminal Misc. Case
No. 2971 of 2021.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that, there was a relationship between the petitioner and the
private opposite party. The private opposite party called up the
petitioner immediately on the private opposite party being
enlarged on bail.

State and the private opposite party are represented.

Allegations levelled by the petitioner on the private opposite party are that there was a relationship between them and that there was a physical relationship between them on June 2, 2018.

The Sessions Judge, by the order dated December 22, 2021, took into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the materials on record in the case diary, nature of the offence, the period of detention of the private opposite party and the state of investigation while granting bail to the private opposite party.

The so-called post bail misconduct of the private opposite party is yet to be established.

Consequently, we find no material irregularity in the order dated December 22, 2021 passed by the Sessions Judge requiring cancellation of the bail granted.

CRM (DB) 26 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)