

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74015 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2016 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

=====

Rana Ranvijay Singh @ Babloo Son of Ram Suresh Singh, Resident of
Village- Fathepur, P.S.- Raghapur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Infoirmant		Mr. Y.V.Giri, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. sunil Kumar Pathak, Advocate
For the State		Mrs. Renu Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

13 25-07-2022 Let the defects, if any, be removed within four
weeks from today.

Heard Mr. Y.C.Verma, learned senior counsel for
the petitioner as well as Mr. Y.V.Giri, learned counsel for
the informant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for
the State .

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered in
connection with Fatuha P.S.Case No. 56 og 2016 for the
offences punishable under Sections 302, 307,427, 120B of
the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per the prosecution case, on the alleged date of
occurrence, all the accused persons variously armed with



surrounded the vehicle of the informant and one of the co-accused Munna Singh, made indiscriminate firing on the husband of the informant and thereupon the petitioner Sunil Rai and Subodh Rai also fired upon him due to which he died. It is also alleged that Subodh Rai fired on the sister-in-law of the informant which hit on her thigh and stomach.

Learned Sr. counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the name of the petitioner has been implicated only on account of political rivalry and moreover, other co-accused persons namely, Subudh Rai has been granted bail by the court below itself and so far as the petitioner is concerned, he is in custody since 27.10.2016.

On the other hand, Mr. Y.V.Giri, learned senior counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner is one of the main assailant causing death of the husband of the informant. It is also submitted that this petitioner is found involved in nine other serious nature of criminal cases. It is next submitted that the trial is at the fag-end and



the same is likely to be concluded within three months.

Having heard the rival contentions of the parties and taking into consideration the final stage of the trial of the case and further the expectation and assurance of the learned trial court that the trial will be concluded within three months, this court is not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail, hence his prayer for bail stands rejected.

However, it is expected that the learned trial court will take all sincere efforts to concluded the trial within three months from today and if he fails to do so, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Harish Kumar, J.)

N.K/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

