

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.217 of 2020

=====

Md. Atiullah, Son of Md. Ishaque, Resident of Village Raxa Rahimpur, Police Station-Dhaka, District-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, East Champaran at Motihari.
5. The District Education Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
6. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
7. The District Programme Officer East Champaran at Motihari.
8. The Block Development Officer, Block Dhaka, District East Champaran.
9. The Block Education Extension Officer, Block Dhaka, District East Champaran.
10. The Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat Raj Jhauaram Block Dhaka, District East Champaran.
11. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Jhaukram Block Dhaka, District East Champaran.
12. The Head Master, Government Primery School Jhauaram Block-Dhaka, District East Champaran.
13. Md. Mustafa, Son of Md. Husain, Resident of Village-Raxa Rahimpur, Police Station-Dhaka, District-East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh (GA-12)
Mr. Ram Vinay Prasad Singh, AC to GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

3 27-06-2022 No one appears for the petitioner.

Admittedly, the petitioner is 67 years old when he filed the writ petition and he was appointed on the post of Panchayat Teacher on 30.11.2006. Complaints were received



with regard to his educational qualifications and his appointment was cancelled vide order dated 18.12.2007. The petitioner challenged the order of cancellation before this court. Thereafter the matter was remitted to the District Appellate Authority, who passed an order against him finding that his cancellation order was in order. He preferred an appeal against the said order before State Appellate Authority. The State Appellate Authority also found the petitioner was lacking qualification for appointment as a Panchayat Teacher after seeking a verification report from the concerned authority, which issued the certificate.

Taking into consideration thereto, the State Appellate Authority upheld the order of the appointment of the petitioner. No error can be said to have been committed by the State Appellate Authority.

The writ petition is devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

amit/-
Item no.31

U			
---	--	--	--

