

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.882 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10162 of 2017

=====

Dr. Ram Niranjana, Son of Sri Sakaldip Singh, Resident of Mohanpur,
Punaichak, P.O.P.S.-Shashtri Nagar, District-Patna.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education department,
Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, New
Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Additional Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar,
New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg,
Baily Road, Patna-800001, through its Chairman.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna 15, Jawahar Lal
Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna-800001.
6. The Special Secretary-Cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service
Commission, Patna 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Mrag, Baily Road, Patna- 800001

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Abhinav Srivastava, Advocate
For the BPSC	:	Mr. D K Sinha, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA)

5 04-07-2022 The present appeal had been filed against the order

dated 03.05.2018 passed in CWJC No. 10162 of 2017. The same

reads as under:

*“In view of the fact that this Court
has dismissed similar writ petition following the
decision of the other co-ordinate Bench of this
Court in C.W.J.C. No. 1134 of 2017, the Court
does not find any reason to make departure*



from the same.

This writ petition is also dismissed.”

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he has brought on record, by way of additional affidavit, order passed in CWJC No. 1134 of 2017 as well as in CWJC No. 14164 of 2017. A perusal of the order passed in CWJC No. 14164 of 2017 clearly shows that the Court had mentioned that there is no ground for issuing any positive direction for considering the appellant's case in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution.

We thus find no merit in this Letters Patent Appeal.
The same is hereby dismissed.

(Rajan Gupta, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

P. Kumar

U			
---	--	--	--

