

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22040 of 2019

Ramanand Singh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The District Magistrate & others

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Nachiketa Jha, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Durjati Kumar Prasad, GP14
For the NHAI	:	Mr. S. N. Pathak, SC
		Mr. Saurav Nikunj

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 10-10-2022 Heard the parties.

The petitioner disputes the fact that the compensation has been given to the petitioner treating his land as agricultural but he wants payment of compensation treating his land as commercial.

In view of the aforesaid, the petitioner has liberty to move the Commissioner-cum-Arbitrator under the provisions of National Highway Act and the same shall be decided in accordance with law by the Commissioner-cum-Arbitrator within three months from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved by the order of the



Commissioner-cum-Arbitrator , the District Judge, Muzaffarpur
under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act.

With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ
petition is disposed of.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Harsh/ BT

U			
---	--	--	--

