

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66360 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2019 Thana- GAMAHARIYA District- Madhepura

Ravi Shankar Mehta Son of Suresh Mehta Resident of Village- Parwaha Ward
No. 1, P.S.- Gamharia, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Kalawati Devi Wife of Ravi Shankar Mehta, Daughter of Maheshwari Mehta Resident of Village- Damha, P.S.- Gamharia, District- Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

7 27-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner,

informant and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a

case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 498(A), 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The basic accusation is of torture and assault

and a threatening to perform second marriage by the

petitioner.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the

petitioner that the allegation against the petitioner is

false and concocted. The petitioner is still ready to keep



the informant as wife with full dignity and honour. A statement to that effect has been made in para 8 of the petition.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that petitioner has adopted lackadaisical view in settling the dispute with his wife and due to his non-appearance before the Mediation Centre, the disputes could not be resolved through the process of mediation. It is further submitted that petitioner has contact with other lady.

From perusal of the impugned order, it is apparent that the informant in her re-statement as well as other witnesses have supported the prosecution case and during investigation, the case has been found true as against the petitioner. It is also mentioned in the impugned order that the informant appeared before the Court below to reconcile the issue with the petitioner but the petitioner being husband of the informant did not appear to reconcile the issue with his wife.



Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

