

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10331 of 2017

-
1. Tarkeshwar Nath Azad @ Uma Shankar Singh and Ors S/o Late Hira Singh,
 2. Bhola Das, S/o Ramkalewar Das
 3. Sahdeo Das S/o Ramkalewar Das
 4. Sheela Devi W/o Manoj Das All are Resident of Village- Bhimnichak, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Patna.
3. The Additional Collector, Patna.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Paliganj Sub Division, Patna.
5. The Deputy Collector of Land Reforms, Paliganj, District Patna.
6. The Circle Officer, Dulhin Bazar Circle, District Patna.
7. The Officer-in- Charge, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, P.S. Patna.
8. Bijendradhari Singh, S/o Late Pratapdhari Singh,
9. Arundhari Singh, S/o Late Balbirdhari Singh,
10. Yadavendradhari Singh @ Sanjaydhari Singh, S/o Late Birendradhari Singh.
11. Suraj Prakash Dhari Singh, S/o Late Ramdhari Singh.
12. Jai Shankar Dhari Singh, S/o Late Tekdhari Singh All Respondent nos. 8 to 11 are Resident of Village- Ain Khan, P.S.- Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna.
13. Ajeet Kumar, Membar of Bihar Anti- Corruption, Dulhin Bazar,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Mukund Kumar, Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocates
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha- SC19 Mr. Saurabh Kumar, AC to SC 19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2022 Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved this Court against the
order dated 18.03.2017. They submit that their land in question



has been included in the list of land whose mutation is to be examined, therefore, this order should be quashed.

It seems that the Courts are being unnecessarily burdened with these kind of litigations. Initially, an order was passed in public interest litigation in which there was a direction to dispose of the representation of the petitioners in the public interest litigation. These days, people with ulterior motive have started moving against bonafide landholders challenging their longstanding Jamabandi. The Court cannot shut its eyes and start moving on these applications and order for initiation of a proceeding. If some person has any grievance, they will have to move the appropriate authority in accordance with law. If some members of political parties or some persons claiming to be members of the anti-corruption department are trying to disturb the peace of their area, the authorities should not entertain these kind of applications.

By the impugned order dated 18.03.2017, there is no direction against the petitioners. If the petitioners are aggrieved by any substantive order passed against them, then they will have all the rights to move a competent court but merely because the petitioners have some apprehension, this Court will not start hearing this kind of writ petition.



This writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

harsh/BT

U			
---	--	--	--

