

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70085 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-456 Year-2022 Thana- CHANDAUTI District- Gaya

=====

BABLU KUMAR S/o Rampravesh Yadav R/o Village- Tineri (Mira Bigha),
P.S.- Chakand, Distt- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 95 litres liquor from a motorcycle.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession, it is next submitted that petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the alleged motorcycle and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate



someone with a view to save the real culprits.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chandauti P.S. Case No. 456 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

The learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify his criminal antecedents and if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent even of a single case, then the present anticipatory bail order shall not be acted upon.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

