

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70624 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-369 Year-2022 Thana- KHAJEKALA District- Patna

MUKESH SINGH Son of Chandra Madhav Singh Resident of Apartment
102, Bauli More, Behind Court Gast Chauki, P.S.- Khajekalan, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyamal Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 8.4 liters of liquor near Meena Jewellery shop.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession, it is next submitted that he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Jai Shankar Prasad in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.



Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khajekala P.S. Case No. 369 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Further, the learned Trial Court before accepting the bail bonds shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even a single case then the present anticipatory bail order shall not be acted upon.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

