

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69189 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2022 Thana- ARER District- Madhubani

=====

RANJU DEVI @ PHULO DEVI W/o Rohit Mukhiya R/v- Nagwas, P.S.-
Arer, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272, 273 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and allegation is of recovery of 2.25 liters of liquor from the house of Rohit Mukhiya.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from her conscious possession and being wife of Rohit Mukhiya came to be implicated when she is a person with clean antecedent.



Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that the impugned order records that petitioner has antecedent of one case.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Arer P.S. Case No. 109 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

Further, the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has any criminal antecedent even of one case then the present anticipatory bail order shall not be acted upon.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

