

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 624 of 2018

=====

Satyendra Nath Singh Son of Late Girija Singh, resident of- Ayer Kothi,
Lohanipur West, P.S.- Kadamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Co Operative Land Development Bank Ltd, Budha Marg,
Patna – 800 001 through its Managing Director
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation
Limited, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Patel Path, Patna – 800 001
3. The Commissioner -cum- Secretary, Food and Civil Supply and Consumer
Protection Department, Govt of Bihar, Patna
4. The Area Manager, Land Development Bank, Patna, Budha Marg, Patna.
5. The District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation,
Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Dronacharya, Sr Advocate with Mr Ram Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the B S F C	:	Mr Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

6 12-09-2022 Description of Respondent No 5 may be corrected by
the petitioner's counsel.

Hard copy of counter affidavit, filed on behalf of
Respondents No 2 and 5, is being taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
respondents.

After hearing the parties and upon consideration of
the counter affidavit, filed on behalf of Respondents No 2 and 5,
the substantial grievance of the petitioner admittedly stands



redressed since due payments have been made to the petitioner under communication dated 27.12.2019 by the Deputy General Manager (Administration) as well as communication dated 12.12.2018 issued by the District Manager, Bihar State Food Corporation, Bhagalpur (Annexures C and D).

In so far as payments for the period 30.07.2016 to 31.08.2016, the learned counsel for the Respondent-Corporation submits that necessary authorization has been issued by the Deputy General Manager (Administration) on 13.08.2022 by Memo No 7022. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the authorization does not amount to payment. The petitioner also may have some other grievances.

Considering the rival submissions, this Court would dispose of the writ petition with a direction to Respondent No 5 to ensure that payment, pursuant to the authorization dated 13.08.2022, be made within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

