

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62839 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2019 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Madhubani

RANJIT SINHA @ RANJIT KUMAR SINHA Son of Late Indu Narayan Prasad Resident of Village - Tilak Chauk, Goshala Road, Ward No.-6, P.S.- Town, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Isha Kumari @ Khushbu Kumari D/o Navin Kumar Singh, W/o Ranjit Sinha @ Ranjit Kumar Sinha, Resident of Village - Tilak Chauk, Goshala Road, Ward No.-6, P.S.- Town, Distt.- Madhubani. At present residing at Village - Heropatti, P.S.- Bisfi, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP

For O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Murari Narain Chaudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

9 04-02-2022 Due to the third wave of COVID-19 Pandemic, the matter is being taken up by way of Virtual Court proceeding.

The matter has been listed under the heading 'For Orders' under the orders of Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State through virtual mode. None appears on behalf of O.P. No. 2.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Sections 323, 341, 498(A), 34 of the Indian Penal Code and $\frac{3}{4}$ of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture upon the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.



It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

It is necessary to indicate here that this Court while considering the prayer made in the present anticipatory bail application has taken note of the exponential rise in number of covid cases due to outbreak of third wave of Covid-19 pandemic. Further this Court can also not lose sight of the fact that the condition of jails is not conducive and suitable to the present situation which has arisen due to the sudden rise in covid cases.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bonds to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Madhubani in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 29 of 2019, corresponding G.R. No. 616 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of



the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the Court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

