

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67004 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-581 Year-2022 Thana- SIWAN MUFFASIL District- Siwan

Bitu Kumar @ Mangru Yadav Son Of Harikisun Yadav R/O Village- Hakkam,
P.S.- Mahadewa, O.P., District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 09-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in the virtual court
proceeding.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and
41(i) of Bihar Prohibition and the Excise Act, 2016.

Recovery is of 17.400 liters of country made illicit
liquor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and has falsely been implicated
in the present case. He further submits that the recovery has



been made from the bag in question and not from petitioner's possession. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has been transpired in this case on the basis of disclosure made by Chaukidar and except the disclosure made by Chaukidar no cogent material has come during investigation against the petitioner. Therefore, the recovery cannot be attributed to the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor or the bag in question. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.



Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of Chaukidar, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Siwan Muffasil (Mahadewa) P.S. Case No. 581 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any state it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

