

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1592 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

Rakesh Kumar s/o Sri Kanchan Kumar Singh, Resident of village- Ketaki,
P.S.- Deo, district- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

- 1.The State Of Bihar Through Director General Of Police, Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
- 3.The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Dy.Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
- 5.The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
- 6.The Superintendent of Police(Town), Patna.
- 7.The Dy.Superintendent of Police (Town), Patna.
8. The H.S.O. Patrakar Nagar Police Station, Patna.

... .. Respondents Ist set.

9.Raja Kumar Singh S/o Gajendar Singh (Petitioner's Mobile no. used)
8051242108.

10. Rahul Kumar Mob. NO. 9708285030, 7545097337.

11.Ravi Kumar Mob. No. 7808937624, 7255875377

All residents of Munna chowk, Sri Janki Niwas, Makan No. 83, P.s.
Kankarbag, District- Patna.

12. Nitu Jha Mob. No. 9801586513, 7280071458.

13. Wave Siber Cafe Mob. No. 9431616965, 8010642510.

14. Ghanshyam Sakin Mini State Bank of India Bazar. Samiti, Bahadurpur,
P.s. Bahadurpur, District- Patna.

... .. Respondents 2nd Set.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Sharan Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumar Shanu, AC to AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

2 13-12-2022 Mr. Kumar Shanu, learned AC to AG for the State submits

that in this case the petitioner has moved directly before this Court

for institution of a case against the private respondent nos. 9 to 14.

The petitioner has not followed the procedure provided in the

judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Priyanka
Srivastava & Anr. v. The State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors.** reported

in **(2015)6 SCC 287**.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, this application



cannot proceed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has appeared and submitted that in fact the First Information Report has already been lodged but he had not correctly posed the prayer in the writ application.

Learned counsel has prayed to withdraw this application.
This application is treated dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

tusharika/-

U			
---	--	--	--

