

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64847 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-368 Year-2021 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

Harendra Ray @ Harendra Kumar Son of Ram Bahadur Ray R/v-
Akhtiyarpur Chandauli (wrongly mentioned in the F.I.R. as Dorapar
Chandauli), P.S.- Tajpur (Waini O.P.), District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suneil Kumar Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-12-2022 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP

for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Tajpur
(Waini O.P) P.S. Case No. 368 of 2021, registered for the
offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

As per allegation, 842.400 liters of foreign liquor
has been recovered from a Van.

The Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered
from the conscious possession of the petitioner.



It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the petition that the petitioner has never moved before this Court for grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail.

It has further been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the petition that the petitioner has been made accused in Two more cases.

However, the Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Special Judge (Excise)-02, Samastipur in connection with Tajpur (Waini O.P) P.S. Case No. 368 of 2021 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence



or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedent other than the disclosed one, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, the learned court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

The application stands allowed accordingly.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office



within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to
issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of
office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

