

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16610 of 2022**

=====

M/s Nagendra Singh NA, Swet Nagar, Nahar Colony, Buxar through its Proprietor Nagendra Singh, Aged about 50 years, Gender Male, son of Jagdish Singh, Resident of Village/ Mohalla Ward No. 12, Swet Nagar, Nahar Colony, P.S. Buxar, District Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Principal Chief Commissioner, CGST, Central Revenue Building, Birchand Patel Path, Patna.
3. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner, Department of State Taxes, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Additional Commissioner, State Taxes (Appeals), Patna West Division, Patna.
5. The Assistant Commissioner, State Tax, Buxar Circle, District- Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Dr. K. N. Singh, ASG

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)**

**Date : 09-12-2022**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s :-



- (i) For issuance of writ/s or order/s for quashing of order dated 18.10.2022 issued vide Memos No. 1820 and 1823, both dated 18.10.2022 whereby the Appeals bearing Appeal Case No. GST/BX-28/2022-23 and GST/BX-31/2022-23 have been dismissed on the admission stage itself without going into the merit of the case only on the ground of delay;
- (ii) For issuance of consequential writ/s or order/s for quashing of ex-parte summary of order dated 02.08.2019 whereby the registration was cancelled and thereafter demand in Form GST DRC-07 dated 07.12.2021 for Rs. 2,64,516.46 and GST DRC-07 dated 08.12.2021 for Rs. 2,18,339.64 have been issued for the Assessment Period 2020-21 without giving proper opportunity of hearing and explaining the factual position of the case;
- (iii) For issuance of a direction to the Respondent restraining the Respondent No. 5 from taking any coercive action for recovery of the amount in demand from the petitioner during the pendency of the present writ application or for a direction to refund of the amount in case recovered from the petitioner;
- (iv) For a direction to the Respondents for defreeze/de-attaching the Bank account of the petitioner firm, attached by the Respondent No. 5;
- (v) For grant of any relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.



It is brought to our notice that vide impugned order dated 18.10.2022 issued vide memo Nos. 1820 and 1823 by the Respondent No. 4, namely, Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeal), Patna West Division, Patna in Appeal Case No. GST/BX-28/2022-23 and GST/BX-31/2022-23, the appeal of the petitioner against the order dated 02.08.2019 passed by Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Buxar and the summary of order dated 07.12.2021 ((Reference No. ZD1012210045657) and 08.12.2021 (Reference No. ZD1012210051886) issued in form GST DRC-07, has been rejected. The orders appeared to be *ex parte* in nature.

Learned counsel for the Revenue, states that he has no objection if the matter is remanded to the Assessing Authority for deciding the case afresh and limitation shall not be allowed to come in the way. Also, the case shall be decided on merits. Also, during pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

However, having heard learned counsel for the parties as also perused the record made available, we are of the considered view that this Court, notwithstanding the statutory remedy, is not precluded from interfering where, *ex facie*, we form an opinion that the order is bad in law. This we say so, for two



reasons- (a) violation of principles of natural justice, i.e. Fair opportunity of hearing. No sufficient time was afforded to the petitioner to represent his case; (b) order passed *ex parte* in nature, does not assign any sufficient reasons even decipherable from the record, as to how the officer could determine the amount due and payable by the assessee. The order, *ex parte* in nature, passed in violation of the principles of natural justice, entails civil consequences; (c) We also find the authorities not to have adjudicated the matter on the attending facts and circumstances. All issues of fact and law ought to have been dealt with, even if the proceedings were *ex parte* in nature.

As such, on this short ground alone, we dispose of the present writ petition in the following mutually agreeable terms:

(a) We quash and set aside the impugned order dated 18.10.2022 issued vide memo Nos. 1820 and 1823 by the Respondent No. 4, namely, Additional Commissioner of State Taxes (Appeal), Patna West Division, Patna in Appeal Case No. GST/BX-28/2022-23 and GST/BX-31/2022-23; order dated 02.08.2019 passed by the Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Buxar and the summary of order dated 07.12.2021 ((Reference No. ZD1012210045657) and 08.12.2021 (Reference No. ZD1012210051886) issued in form GST DRC-



07;

(b) We accept the statement of the petitioner that ten per cent of the total amount, being condition prerequisite for hearing of the appeal, already stands deposited. If that were so, well and good. However, if the amount is not deposited for whatever reason(s), same shall be done before the next date;

(c) Further the petitioner undertakes to additionally deposit ten per cent of the amount of the demand raised before the Assessing Officer. This shall be done within four weeks.

(d) This deposit shall be without prejudice to the respective rights and contention of the parties and subject to the order passed by the Assessing Officer. However, if it is ultimately found that the petitioner's deposit is in excess, the same shall be refunded within two months from the date of passing of the order;

(e) We also direct for de-freezing/de-attaching of the bank account(s) of the writ-petitioner, if attached in reference to the proceedings, subject matter of present petition. This shall be done immediately.

(f) Petitioner undertakes to appear before the Assessing Authority on 23.12.2022 at 10:30 A.M., if possible through



digital mode;

(g) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits after complying with the principles of natural justice;

(h) Opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so required and desired;

(i) During pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

(j) The Assessing Authority shall pass a fresh order only after affording adequate opportunity to all concerned, including the writ petitioner;

(k) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to fully cooperate in such proceedings and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(l) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of appearance of the petitioner;

(m) The Assessing Authority shall pass a speaking order assigning reasons, copy whereof shall be supplied to the parties;

(n) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired;

(o) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take



recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(p) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, with a reasonable dispatch;

(q) We have not expressed any opinion on merits and all issues are left open;

(r) If possible, proceedings be conducted through digital mode;

The instant petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to communicate the order to the appropriate authority through electronic mode.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( Partha Sarthy, J)**

Ashwini/Sujit

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          |            |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 13.12.2022 |
| Transmission Date |            |

