

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3194 of 2018

=====

Virendra Kumar Thakur @ Birendra Kumar Thakur Son of late Brajnandan Thakur Resident of Village- Ghaghra, P.O. Baburban , P.S. Block- Parihar, District- Sitamarhi. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar.
3. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The District Programme Officer Establishment, Sitamarhi.
5. The Treasury Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. The Bank of India through the Branch Manager, Parwaha.
7. The Branch Manager, Bank of India, Parwana.
8. The Accountant General Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate.
For the Bank of India : Mr. Raj Nandan Prasad, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, Ac to Gp27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 02-11-2022

Heard Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Raj Nandan Prasad, learned counsel for the respondent Bank of India and Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, (AC to Gp27) for the State.

The present application has been filed seeking direction upon the respondent authorities to ensure the payment of pension by making necessary correction in the amount of pension for which he is entitled, apart from other outstanding dues.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent no.6, with reference to the statement made in



Paragraph No.4, it is submitted that the total amount of pension payable from 01.03.2009 to 31.08.2018 amounting to Rs.19,61,359/-, apart from difference amount of Rs.11,530/- have stood paid and the same has been credited into the account of the petitioner.

Separate counter affidavits have also been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 4 and 5, stating therein that the necessary action was required to be taken by the bank and the same has already been done.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prima facie from the averments made in the counter affidavit, it appears that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed. However, he seeks liberty to file a representation before the concerned authorities, if need be, in case of any discrepancies in the calculation.

In view of the aforesaid submissions made at the bar and the materials available on the record, this writ application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Harish Kumar, J)

manoj/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	04.11.2022
Transmission Date	NA

