

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.990 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2021 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

CHANDRA MANI SINGH S/o Lal Mohan Singh Resident of Village-
Chilha, P.S.- Karakat, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 30-08-2022 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Karakat
P.S. Case No. 71 of 2021 registered for the offence under
Section 302 of the I.P.C. and 27 of the Arms Act.

The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 09.06.2021.

The allegation against the petitioner is to commit
murder of father-in-law of the informant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submitted that informant is not eye witness of the occurrence. It
is also submitted that occurrence took place due to land dispute



between the parties. While concluding the argument, it has been submitted that the trial is almost concluded, where five chargesheet witnesses have already been examined and moreover, investigation has been completed.

Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of informant, namely, Sanjay Kumar Singh, while opposing the prayer of bail, submitted that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner to fire upon the father-in-law of informant, causing his death, which is also supported by post-mortem report. It is also submitted that informant is the eye witness of the occurrence and there is no reason to disbelieve his version.

Considering the facts and circumstances as mentioned above, as specific allegation against the petitioner is to commit murder of the father-in-law of the informant and also by considering the fact that trial is almost concluded, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of this order, by taking the matter on board, on day to day basis.

S.S.P., Rohtas is directed to produce the charge-sheeted witnesses as and when directed by the Trial Court for



expeditious disposal of trial, so as to conclude the trial within
the aforesaid period, as directed above.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

pooja/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

