

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.370 of 2022

=====

Shahzada Qumar Khan son of Late Sarbuland Khan resident of Mohalla- New Karimganj, Road No. 16, Road No. 16, Police Station- Civil Lines, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. Gajendar Jha son of not known resident of Madhubani, P.S. and District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ansul, Advocate Mr. Najeeb Ahmad, Advocate Mr. Thakur Brajesh Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Kr. Verma, AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/ Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

=====

Date : 28-01-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-



- (i) For issuance of appropriate writ/ writs, in the nature of writ of mandamus , directing the respondents to take appropriate legal action as per the law under the provision of I.P.C. against respondent no. 4 because of call/ statement issued by him in all the leading news paper declaring that he would pay Rs. 11 lakh to anybody who would cut the tongue of ex-Chief Minister of Bihar, Shri Jitan Ram Manjhi and would take care of financial needs of that family for the entire life.
- (ii) For direction/ directions to the respondents directing them to ensure that some kind of planned and well coordinated action is initiated by them so that such kind statements/ call , vigilantism does not grow.
- (iii) For any other relief/ reliefs to which the petitioner may be entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Bench not to be agreeable with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to highlight the issue with



better particulars, subject matter of the present lis, by filing a fresh petition.

Permission granted with liberty as prayed for.

Liberty also reserved to the petitioner to make a mention for listing of the petition on priority basis. As and when any such mention is made, Registry shall immediately take steps for listing the petition at the earliest, before the appropriate Court.

Instant petition stands disposed of as withdrawn in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Amrendra/PKP

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	31.01.2022
Transmission Date	

