

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1319 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-85 Year-2021 Thana- BAJPATTI District- Sitamarhi

1. RATAN SADA Son of Late Rameshwar Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
2. Chathu Sada Son of Late Rameshwar Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
3. Prem Sada Son of Late Rameshwar Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
4. Pavitra Sada Son of Late Rameshwar Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
5. Nandu Sada Son of Late Rameshwar Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
6. Vikash Kumar Son of Prem Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
7. Nirgun Sada Son of Nandu Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
8. Raju Kumar Son of Chhathu Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
9. Ishwar Sada @ Ishwar Kumar Son of Nandu Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.
10. Ram Prasad Sada Son of Late Dukha Sada Resident of Village- Bajidpur, Ward No.10, P.S.- Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Neeraj Kumar Alias Sanidh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to

remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within

one month.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and



learned counsel for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Bajopatti P.S.Case No. 85 of 2021.

As per allegation, the petitioners, who are named in the FIR, entered into the house of the informant and badly assaulted her father-in-law and made him injured. Her father-in-law died at the spot due to indiscriminate assault at the hands of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case because one day prior to the occurrence Sushila Devi had lodged Bajopatti P.S.Case No. 84 of 2021 against the members of the informant's side and due to that vendetta, the present case has been lodged.

Petitioners are named in the FIR. There is allegation against them that they badly assaulted the father-in-law of the informant who died at the spot. The informant is an eye-witness of the occurrence.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail, which is hereby rejected.



Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioners within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

