

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.522 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-498 Year-2020 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

=====

Golu Mahato @ Golu Mahto Son of Virendra Singh @ Birendra Mahto @
Virend Mahato Resident of Village- Rahathua, P.S.- Brahamapur, District-
Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vikram Deo Singh, Sr. Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2022 Heard Shri Vikram Deo Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Shri Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the

informant and Shri Anil Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

S.T. No. 84 of 2021 arising out of Brahampur P.S. Case No. 498

of 2020 instituted for the offences under Sections 302 and 34 of

the Indian Penal Code read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is in custody since 23.12.2020.

In view of the liberty granted to the petitioner by

order dated 25.11.2021 in Cr. Misc. No. 26896 of 2021 that the

petitioner can renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge,

the petitioner after framing of charge has renewed his prayer for



bail by way of the present application. The fact that co-accused have also been granted bail and the petitioner had the liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J.-IX, Buxar in connection with S.T. No. 84 of 2021 arising out of Brahampur P.S. Case No. 498 of 2020 with a condition that one of the bailors shall be the father of the petitioner and the court below will make efforts to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and in the event, the court below comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is trying to delay the conclusion of the trial, then by a reasoned order, will be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

