

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.574 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2021 Thana- JANKINAGAR District- Purnia

=====

Arun Uraon Son Of Rajendra Uraon Resident Of Village- Ramjani, Uron
Tola, P.S. Janki Nagar, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-07-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be

removed within four weeks of starting of Court

proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 302, 201, 120B, 34 of

the Indian Penal Code.

As per prosecution case, in brief, is that the sister

of the informant namely Punita Devi was wedded with co-

accused Dinesh Uraon 8-9 years ago. After marriage he

used to beat her. It is further alleged that on 18.01.2021 at

7:00 A.M. the informant received an information that his



sister Punita Devi was murdered by her husband and other accused persons including this petitioner and her body was concealed. Thereafter, the informant went to the matrimonial house of his sister and he did not find her dead body. He came to know that his sister was killed at night on 17-18/01/2021 and her dead body was thrown in a JBC canal. It is also alleged that the dead body was searched in the canal but it was not traced out. The accused persons were found absconding from their house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that in fact the petitioner is brother-in-law (*Bhasur*) of the deceased. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. that there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the family affairs of the deceased and he is in custody since 12.08.2021.

Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the basis of the material available on record as well as case



diary and supplementary case diary. Learned APP further submits in paragraph-17 of the supplementary case diary that the witness namely Gajendra Yadav has specifically stated that the petitioner and the husband of the deceased was involved in disposing of the dead body of the victim.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 11 of 2021 pending in the Court of learned Sri Dibya Prakash, Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Purnea. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

