

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72140 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-177 Year-2021 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

Hari Om Sharan Yadav, S/O- Late Jay Jay Ram Yadav R/o Village -
Chharrapatti, P.S. - Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 19-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Brajendra Nath Pandey, learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is seeking regular bail in connection with Udakishunganj P.S. Case No. 177 of 2021 registered for the offence under Section 25(1-b)a/26 of the Arms Act. Petitioner has got six criminal antecedents as stated in paragraph '3' of the application. He is in custody since 20.06.2021.

As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that when he got secret information that Hari Om Sharan Yadav (the petitioner) is sleeping with arms in his house, he surrounded his house, searched the same and apprehended the petitioner in sleeping condition. The informant further alleged that three live cartridges and one mobile from his waist and from his house two country made loaded maskets from his bed were recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing incriminating material has been found from the possession of the petitioner, however, he is in judicial custody since 20.06.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the materials showing that from the hut of the petitioner two country made maskets and three live cartridges have been recovered and he has got six criminal antecedents which includes cases under Section 302, 363, 365 & 392 of the Indian Penal Code, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is, thus, refused.

Let the trial be expedited. Learned trial court shall take all efforts to conclude the trial within a period for six months from the date of communication of this order. If the trial still remains unconcluded for no reason attributable to the petitioner, he may renew his prayer for bail.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

