

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.461 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2021 Thana- BAISI District- Purnia

SIKENDRA KUMAR @ SIKANDRA KUMAR, aged about 28 years,
Gender-Male, Son of Shankar Singh, Resident of Village- Raj Weli Dagarua
Hat, P.S.- Dagarua, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bijendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mrs. Sangeeta Sharma, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 11-02-2022 Due to the third wave of COVID-19 Pandemic, the
matter is being taken up by way of Virtual Court proceeding.

The matter has been listed under the heading ‘For
Orders’ under the orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State through Virtual mode.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within a period
of four weeks after restoration of normalcy.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Baisi P.S. Case No. 56 of 2021, for the offence registered
under Sections 272, 273 of the I.P.C. and 30(a), 41 and 47 of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.



The prosecution story, in brief, is that total 22.890 liters wine is recovered from two Motorcycles in question.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that total 22.890 liters wine is recovered from two Motorcycles in question. The petitioner is alleged to be the owner of one of the Motorcycles bearing Registration No. BRY/5271. The said Motorcycle was already sold to other co-accused, namely, Vidyanand Kumar, prior to institution of the present case. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr. P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ Complaint Case.

It is necessary to indicate here that this Court while considering the prayer made in the present anticipatory bail application has taken note of the exponential rise in number of



covid cases due to outbreak of third wave of Covid-19 pandemic. Further this Court can also not lose sight of the fact that the condition of jails is not conducive and suitable to the present situation which has arisen due to the sudden rise in covid cases.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on his personal bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, (Excise), Purnea, in connection with Baisi P.S. Case No. 56 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Once the normalcy is restored, the petitioner shall furnish bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each within a period of eight weeks to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

