

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.600 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2021 Thana- RAJAPAKAR District- Vaishali

PAPPU KUMAR RAI @ PAPPU RAI S/O RUDAL RAI R/o village-
Randaha, P.S.- Rajapakar (Baranti O.P.), District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period
of four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,
341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 354, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code
read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

The informant alleges that while he was getting ready
for his duty, the accused persons including the petitioner came
and assaulted him. The petitioner assaulted the sister-in-law of
the informant by *farsa* causing injury on her head and nose.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the injury caused to the sister-in-law of the informant is by hard and blunt substance and the opinion regarding injury is kept reserved. It is, thus, submitted that the allegation as alleged in the FIR does not get corroborated with respect to the petitioner in view of the finding recorded in the injury report. It is further submitted that there is a delay of six days in instituting the FIR without any plausible explanation. It is also submitted that from the side of the petitioner Rajapakar (Baranti O.P.) P.S. Case No. 04 of 2021 has been instituted. Learned counsel next submits that the present FIR came to be instituted by way of afterthought as no reason or motive has been assigned for the occurrence.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajapakar



(Baranti O.P.) P.S. Case No. 12 of 2021, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

