

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72596 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2021 Thana- LADAIYATAR District- Munger

=====

Pramila Kora D/o Sadhu Kora Resident of Village - Lahiya Saheb Tola, P.S. -
Piri Bazar, Distt. - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Kamal Nayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Laraiya
Tand P.S. Case No. 09 of 2021 registered under sections 147, 148,
149, 121(A), 122 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3, 4,
5 of the Explosive Substance Act and Sections 16, 17, 18, 19, 20,
21 and 22 of the U.A.P. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is in custody since 08.07.2021, charge-sheet has been
submitted, has antecedent of 8 cases and the informant alleges that
he received information from the S.P., Munger that near
Laraiyatand Forest 20-25 Naxalite have gathered in view of
upcoming Panchayat Election and they can commit any offence
and petitioner is one of them, upon this information the informant
reached the place of occurrence and heard voices and thus



proceeded towards the words and saw that 20-25 persons were fleeing away and were raising slogan '*Lal Salam*', all the accused persons fled away, further, it is alleged that from the place of occurrence certain articles like explosives etc. were recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case because of his antecedent and in the most of the cases in which the petitioner was made an accused were cases against unknown in which the petitioner came to be implicated based on investigation either on confessional statement or through some other mode.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application.

Permission is accorded with liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer after framing of charge.

Accordingly, the present bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

ved/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

