

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16245 of 2022

=====

Aditya Enterprises At Rajeev Nagar, House No. 50, Road No. 21 Patna-800024 through Dhanjay Kumar Proprietor.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Art, Culture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Divisional Commissioner Cum Chairman Sri Krishna Smarak Vikash Samitte, Patna.
3. Collector Cum Chief Executive Officer Sri Krishna Smarak Vikash Samitte, Patna.
4. Joint Commissioner Cum Incharge Officer Sri Krish Smark Vikash Samitte, Patna.
5. Mr. Shiv Kumar I/C Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
6. Niraj Kumar Pandey Father name not known at Indrapuri, P.S A.N. College, Patna.
7. Vikash Kumar Pandey Father name not known at Boring Canal Road, P.S. Krishnapuri, Patna.
8. Manoj Kumar Singh son of late Fateh Bahadur Singh H. No. 11, Kedbaipuri, P.S. Kedbaipuri.
9. Sanjay Singh son of Late Mukti Nath Singh Saristabad Road, P.S. Gardnibagh, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Randhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kr. Singh (AAG 13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

2 25-11-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“That this is an application for direction to the
Divisional Commissioner Cum Chairman Sri
Krishna Smark Vikash Samitte, to



re-examine the settlement made this year with the other three respondents no. 6,7,8 Gandhi Maidan area after wrong report and suggestions made by the Respondent no.5 Mr. Shiv Kumar the I/C Gandhi Maidan, who became interested with the Respondent no.6,7,8 as well as to take necessary action against respondent no. 5 if found wrong suggestions given and manage in favour of Respondent no. 6, 7, 8.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars, on the same and subsequent cause of action, if so required and desired.

Permission granted with liberty, as prayed for.

As and when any such petition is filed, the same shall be listed on priority basis.

Instant petition stands dismissed as withdrawn in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

